From:	William Redding
To:	Tasmanian Salmon Study Secretariat
Subject:	Salmon Study Plan feedback
Date:	Wednesday, 1 July 2026 10:47:05 AM
Attachments:	Outlook-cir2q3uz.png Outlook-kd0sk3l1.png Outlook-n204xqsi.png salmon_study_wredding.docx

---

Hello,

Please find attached my feedback on the study plan.

Thank you,
Will

UNIVERSITY of TASMANIA

The Ally Network

I believe in an inclusive university culture for people of diverse sexuality and gender identities.

FIRE CENTRE
Bushfire Research Hub



I acknowledge the traditional and ongoing custodians of the land on which we live, learn and work, lutruwita, home to the palawa/pakana peoples. I pay my respects to elders past and present and to the many Aboriginal people who did not make elder status and to the Tasmanian Aboriginal community that continue to care for Country. Sovereignty has never been ceded; this always was and always will be Aboriginal land.

This email is confidential, and is for the intended recipient only. Access, disclosure, copying, distribution, or reliance on any of it by anyone outside the intended recipient organisation is prohibited and may be a criminal offence. Please delete if obtained in error and email confirmation to the sender. The views expressed in this email are not necessarily the views of the University of Tasmania, unless clearly intended otherwise.

Dear Dr Craik and Dr Russell,

Thank you for your role in ensuring the vitality of Lutruwita / Tasmania's people and natural environment is protected.

I have reviewed the study plan and, while I was pleased with some aspects of it, I also have several concerns.

1. From the outset of this process, it should be made clear that recommending the abolition of salmon farming in Tasmanian waters is a possible outcome if that is where the evidence leads. This must not become a taxpayer-funded review conducted for the benefit of industry.
2. The wording throughout the study overview appears to favour industry. In a time of ecological crisis, maintaining the status quo should not be the default position, and no industry should be considered inherently essential or future-proof - least of all the intensive farming of an introduced carnivore. Identifying any benefits of this industry is not, in itself, justification for its continuation. Significant environmental and cultural harm cannot be offset by short-term benefits.
3. The study should give much greater voice to Aboriginal people. I encourage you to engage directly with the Aboriginal community rather than relying solely on these submissions, which may not be accessible to everyone.

Thank you again for your work. I look forward to reading your findings.

Best wishes,

Will Redding
PhD Student